GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH ABSTRACT

Establishment – Home Department – **Review Petition** of Sri A. Ganesh, Office Subordinate, Home Department, against the punishment of "**CENSURE**" – Allowed - Orders – Issued.

HOME (OP-I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.1698 Dated: 18/09/2012 Read the following:-

- 1) G.O.Ms.No.712, Home (OP-I) Deptt., dated 03.04.2009
- 2) Orders dated 19.02.2011 Hon'ble III Addl. Asst Sessions Judge (Fast Track Court) R.R. District.
- 3) Representation dated 18.3.2011 of the individual.
- 4) G.O.Rt.No.942, Home (OP-I) Department, dated 17.06.2011.
- 5) Memo.No.7279/OP-I/A2/09, Home (OP-I) Dept dated 19.08.2011.
- 6) Defence Statement dated 25.08.2011 of the individual.
- 7) G.O.Rt.No.1475, Home (OP-I) Department, dated 05.09.201.
- 8) O.O.Rt.No.324, Home (OP-I) Deptt., dated 02.11.2011.
- 9) From Sri A.Ganesh, Office Subordinate, Home Department, application dated 02.12.2011.

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, Sri A.Ganesh, Office Subordinate, Home Department, who was involved in Crime No.845/08, U/s 376 IPC at Kusahiguda Police Station, was **placed under suspension** with effect from 24.01.2009, from the date of detention in police custody. Later he was **reinstated** in to Service pending disciplinary action to be initiated against him, vide reference 4th read above, as he was **acquitted from the above criminal case on the file of** Hon'ble III Addl. Asst Sessions Judge (Fast Tract Court), R.R. District.

02. In the reference 5th read above, the article of charge has been framed against Sri A.Ganesh, Office Subordinate, Home Department, by calling his statement of defence, under Rule, 22 of APCS(CC&A) Rules, 1991, for the following delinquency.

"that the said Sri A.Ganesh, Office Subordinate, Home Deptt., while functioning as Office Subordinate in Home Deptt, has involved in Cr.No.845/08, U/s 376 of IPC of kushaiguda, as he threatened Kum G Shailaja D/o G Komaraiah, who worked as Xerox Operator in Home Deptt., for her intimacy. He has also forced her to maintain cordial relations with him, even though she stopped working in Home Department. Thus his action is contrary to the rule 3 (C) of A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 which prohibits sexual harassment of working women.

- 03. In the reference 6th read above, he has submitted his defence statement, wherein he has requested to consider his explanation favorably, and drop further action against him. The same has been examined and issued final orders, imposing the punishment of "Censure" vide reference 7th read above and the suspension period from 24-01-2009 to 17-06-2011 has been treated as "NOT ONDUTY", vide reference 8th read above.
- 04. In the reference 9th read above, Sri A.Ganesh, Office Subordinate, Home Department has submitted a review petition to the Government and requested to **set-aside** the above punishment and also requested to treat the **suspension period** from 24-01-2009 to 17-06-2011 as "on duty".
- 05. After careful examination of the entire matter, with reference to the records made available, by taking the application of the individual into consideration, Government hereby "allow" the Review Petition of the individual and set-aside the punishment of "Censure". The period of suspension from 24-01-2009 to 17-06-2011 is treated as "ONDUTY", under FR 54 (B).

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

AJOYENDRA PYAL PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

To
Sri A.Ganesh, Office Subordinate, Home Deptt.,
Copy to:
Home (OP-II) Deptt.,
The Dy., PAO, AP Secretariat, Hyderabad
SF/SC

// FORWARDED :; BY ORDER//